arXiv:1602.02477v1 [math.DG] 8 Feb 2016

GJMS OPERATORS AND Q-CURVATURE FOR CONFORMAL CODAZZI STRUCTURES

TAIJI MARUGAME

Abstract. The conformal Codazzi structure is an intrinsic geometric structure on strictly convex hypersufaces in a locally flat projective manifold. We construct the GJMS operators and the Q-curvature for conformal Codazzi structures by using the ambient metric. We relate the total Q-curvature to the logarithmic coefficient in the volume expansion of the Blaschke metric, and derive the first and second variation formulas for a deformation of strictly convex domains.

1. Introduction

The ambient metric is a powerful tool for constructing geometric invariants and invariant differential operators such as GJMS operators ([GJMS], [GG]) and Q-curvature ([FH]) in conformal and CR geometries. It was first introduced by Fefferman [Fe] for CR manifolds via the solution to the complex Monge–Ampère equation, and then the Fefferman–Graham ambient metric was constructed for conformal manifolds by solving Ricci flat equation formally on the ambient space ([FG1], [FG3]).

In this article we define the ambient metric for another geometric structure: the *conformal Codazzi structure*. We will quickly review this geometric structure in dimension greater than 3; We refer the reader to [BC] and [M] for the details including low dimensional cases. Let M be a C^∞-manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$. We use abstract index notation to denote tensors and tensor bundles. For example, we denote TM and S^3T^*M by $\mathcal{E}^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{E}_{(\alpha\beta\gamma)}$ respectively. We also use these symbols to denote the space of sections of the bundles. The conformal density bundle of weight w is defined by $\mathcal{E}[w] = (\wedge^n T^*M)^{-w/n}$, and we put $[w]$ to a bundle to express the tensor product with $\mathcal{E}[w]$. A conformal Codazzi structure on M is a conformal structure $[h]$ together with a trace-free symmetric 3-tensor $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in \mathcal{E}_{(\alpha\beta\gamma)_0}[2]$, called the *Fubini–Pick form*, which satisfies the following *Gauss–Codazzi equations*:

$$\text{(Gauss)} \qquad 2\,\mathrm{tf}(A_{\nu\gamma[\alpha}A_{\beta]\mu}{}^{\nu}) + W^h_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu} = 0,$$

$$\text{(Codazzi)} \qquad \nabla^h_{[\alpha}A_{\beta]\gamma\mu} - \frac{1}{n}\Big(h_{\mu[\alpha}(\delta A)_{\beta]\gamma} + h_{\gamma[\alpha}(\delta A)_{\beta]\mu}\Big) = 0,$$

where $\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}[2]$ is the conformal metric, $W^h_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu}$ is the Weyl tensor and $(\delta A)_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla^h_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma$. The conformal Codazzi structure is an intrinsic geometric structure on a strictly convex hypersurface in a locally flat projective manifold; The projective second fundamental form induces a conformal structure and the Gauss–Codazzi equations come from the flatness of the ambient projective structure. Conversely, the conformal structure and the Fubini–Pick form recover the local immersion to the projective space (projective Bonnet theorem).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A30 (primary), 53A55 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. ambient metric; GJMS operator; Q-curvature; conformal geometry; projective geometry.

In this paper we consider the case where M is globally realized as the boundary of a strictly convex domain Ω in a manifold N with a locally flat projective structure $[\nabla]$. As in the CR case, the ambient metric for the conformal Codazzi structure is constructed from the solution to the Monge–Ampère equation on Ω. The real Monge–Ampère equation for a projective density $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathcal{E}(2)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{J}[\boldsymbol{\rho}] := \det(D_I D_J \boldsymbol{\rho}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 2\boldsymbol{\rho} & \nabla_j \boldsymbol{\rho} \\ \nabla_i \boldsymbol{\rho} & \nabla_i \nabla_j \boldsymbol{\rho} + 2\boldsymbol{\rho} P_{ij} \end{pmatrix} = -1, \\ &\Omega = \{\boldsymbol{\rho} < 0\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where D_I is the projective D-operator and $P_{ij} = (1/n)\mathrm{Ric}_{ij}$ is the projective Schouten tensor of $\nabla \in [\nabla]$. As in the complex case ([Fe]), one can construct an approximate solution to (1.1):

Proposition 1.1 ([M])**.** *There exists a defining density $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathcal{E}(2)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{J}[\boldsymbol{\rho}] = \begin{cases} -1 + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^\infty) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}, \\ -1 + \mathcal{O}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{E}(-n-2)$. Moreover, such a density is unique modulo $O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^\infty)$ for n odd, and unique modulo $O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2})$ for n even.

We call $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ a *Fefferman defining density* when it satisfies (1.2). The density $\mathcal{O}$ is called the *obstruction density* and the boundary value $\mathcal{O}|_M \in \mathcal{E}[-n-1]$ gives a local conformal invariant.

We define the ambient metric for a conformal Codazzi structure by the projective tractor

$$\widetilde{g}_{IJ} = D_I D_J \boldsymbol{\rho}$$

with a Fefferman defining density $\boldsymbol{\rho}$. It is identified with a homogeneous Lorentz metric on the projective density bundle and allows us to construct the GJMS operators and the Q-curvature as in conformal and CR cases. The GJMS operator $P_m : \mathcal{E}[m-n/2] \to \mathcal{E}[-m-n/2]$ is a conformally invariant linear differential operator whose symbol agrees with that of the power of the Laplacian Δ_h^m, and is different from usual conformal GJMS operator. In particular, we can define $P_{n/2+2}$ for even n, which does not exist for general conformal manifolds ([GH]). The conformal Codazzi Q-curvature integrates to a global conformal invariant and is also different from usual conformal Q-curvature.

Recall that conformal and CR Q-curvatures are intimately related to the geometry of complete metrics inside the domains, such as Poincaré-Einstein metric ([G2], [GZ], [FG2]) and the Cheng–Yau metric ([CY], [HPT]). In our case, the relevant metric is the *Blaschke metric*, which is a projectively invariant metric on Ω defined by

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\nabla_i \nabla_j \boldsymbol{\rho}}{-2\boldsymbol{\rho}} + \frac{\nabla_i \boldsymbol{\rho} \nabla_j \boldsymbol{\rho}}{4\boldsymbol{\rho}^2} - P_{ij}.$$

In [M], the author shows that the Blaschke metric has the volume expansion

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\{\tau^{-2}\boldsymbol{\rho} < -\tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon^2\}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} c_{-n+2j} \epsilon^{-n+2j} + \begin{cases} V + o(1) & (n : \text{odd}) \\ L \log(1/\epsilon) + V + o(1) & (n : \text{even}) \end{cases}$$

for a fixed projective scale $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(1)$, and V is independent of the choice of τ when n is odd while L is independent of the choice of τ when n is even. We prove that the integral $\overline{Q}$ of the conformal Codazzi Q-curvature agrees with a multiple of L. By using this fact, we show that the first variation of $\overline{Q}$ for a deformation of a strictly convex domain is given by the obstruction density $\mathcal{O}$ (Theorem 4.1). As a corollary, it is shown that a strictly convex surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is a critical point of $\overline{Q}$ if and only

if it is projectively equivalent to the sphere (Corollary 4.2). We also show that the second variation for a deformation parametrized by a density on the boundary is described by the GJMS operator $P_{n/2+2}$ (Theorem 4.6). For the spheres, the operator agrees with the ordinary GJMS operator, and it turns out that its kernel corresponds to a deformation via a family of projective linear transformations, which gives a trivial deformation of the conformal Codazzi manifold.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we review the projective tractor calculus and geometry of strictly convex domains, including some tensor identities given in [M]. In §3, we define the GJMS operators and the Q-curvature using the ambient metric in conformal Codazzi geometry. Then we prove the self-adjointness of the GJMS operators and show that the total Q-curvature agrees with a multiple of the coefficient L in the volume expansion of the Blaschke metric. Finally in §4, we derive first and second variation formulas of the total Q-curvature under a deformation of strictly convex domains in a locally flat projective manifold.

Notations. We adopt Einstein's summation convention and assume that

- uppercase Latin indices $I, J, K, \dots$ run from 0 to $n+1$;
- lowercase Latin indices $i, j, k, \dots$ run from 1 to $n+1$;
- lowercase Greek indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ run from 1 to n.

Acknowledgments. This paper is based on part of the author's thesis at the University of Tokyo. I would like to express my deep gratitude to Professor Kengo Hirachi for his continuous encouragement and helpful advice. I would also like to thank Dr. Yoshihiko Matsumoto, Professor Michael Eastwood, and Professor Bent Ørsted for invaluable comments on the results. This research was partially supported by JSPS Fellowship and KAKENHI 13J06630.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Projective tractor calculus.** We quickly review the tractor calculus in projective differential geometry; We refer to [BEG] for the detail of the constructions. A projective structure $[\nabla]$ on an oriented differentiable manifold N is a projective equivalence class of torsion free affine connections, where two affine connections are said to be projectively equivalent when they have the same geodesic paths. It is known that ∇ and ∇' are projectively equivalent if and only if there exists a 1-form p such that

$$\nabla'_i X^j = \nabla_i X^j + p_i X^j + p_l X^l \delta_i{}^j,$$

for any $X^i \in \mathcal{E}^i$, which we write as $\nabla' = \nabla + p$. We define the *projective density bundle* of weight w as an oriented real line bundle $\mathcal{E}(w) = (\wedge^{n+1} T^*N)^{-(w/n+2)}$, where $n+1 = \dim N$. A choice of a positive section $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(1)$, called a *projective scale*, determines a unique representative connection $\nabla \in [\nabla]$ satisfying $\nabla\tau = 0$. We deal with only such connections in $[\nabla]$. If we change the scale as $\widehat{\tau} = e^{-\Upsilon}\tau$ with $\Upsilon \in C^\infty(N)$, the connection transforms as $\widehat{\nabla} = \nabla + d\Upsilon$. A projective structure is *locally flat* if the projective Weyl curvature

$$C_{ij}{}^k{}_l = R_{ij}{}^k{}_l - 2\,\delta_{[i}{}^k P_{j]l}$$

vanishes, where $P_{ij} = (1/n)\mathrm{Ric}_{ij}$ is the *projective Schouten tensor*. This is equivalent to the condition that around each point in N there exists a local *affine scale*, namely a projective scale such that the corresponding representative connection is flat.

We define the *ambient space* $\widetilde{N}$ by the $\mathbb{R}_+$-bundle $\mathcal{E}(-1)_+$ over N. Then the *projective tractor bundle* is the rank $n+2$ vector bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^I = T\widetilde{N}/\mathbb{R}_+$ over N, where $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ acts on $T\widetilde{N}$ by $s^{-1}(\delta_s)_*$ with the dilation δ_s on $\widetilde{N}$. A section

$\nu^I \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^I(w) := \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^I \otimes \mathcal{E}(w)$, called a *tractor*, can be identified with a vector filed on $\widetilde{N}$ homogeneous of degree $w-1$. If we take a projective scale τ and trivialize $\widetilde{N}$ by τ^{-1}, the tractor bundle is decomposed as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^I \overset{\tau}{\cong} \begin{matrix} \mathcal{E}^i(-1) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{E}(-1), \end{matrix}$$

where $\mathcal{E}^i$ denotes TN. Under a rescaling $\widehat{\tau} = e^{-\Upsilon}\tau$, we have the transformation formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\nu}^i \\ \widehat{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^i \\ \lambda - \Upsilon_k \nu^k \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Upsilon_k = d\Upsilon$. The tractor $T^I \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^I(1)$ expressed by ${}^t(0,1)$ does not depend on the choice of a projective scale and is called the *Euler field*. The *projective tractor connection* is a projectively invariant connection on $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^I$ defined by

$$\nabla_i \begin{pmatrix} \nu^j \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_i \nu^j + \lambda \delta_i{}^j \\ \nabla_i \lambda - P_{ik}\nu^k \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

We also have the induced tractor connections on the dual bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_I$ or various tensor bundles such as $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_I{}^J$, computed from (2.1). The tractor connection is flat if and only if the projective structure $[\nabla]$ is locally flat.

There is another important projectively invariant differential operator: The *projective D-operator* $D_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_*(w) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{I*}(w-1)$ is defined by

$$D_I f_* = \begin{pmatrix} w f_* \\ \nabla_i f_* \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{matrix} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_*(w) \\ \oplus \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{i*}(w) \end{matrix} \overset{\tau}{\cong} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{I*}(w-1),$$

where '$*$' denotes arbitrary tractor indices and ∇ in the second slot is the connection induced from the tractor connection and the connection on $\mathcal{E}(w)$ associated with τ. We have the following useful formulas:

$$T^I D_I f_* = w f_*, \quad D_I T^J = \delta_I{}^J.$$

The D-operator can be regarded as a linear connection on the ambient space $\widetilde{N}$ whose flatness is equivalent to the local flatness of $[\nabla]$.

2.2. **Geometry of strictly convex domains.** Let $(N, [\nabla])$ be an oriented locally flat projective manifold of dimension $n+1$. We consider a relatively compact domain Ω in N with smooth boundary M. We say M is *strictly convex* if $\nabla_i \nabla_j \rho|_{TM}$ is positive definite for a connection $\nabla \in [\nabla]$ and a defining function ρ which is negative in Ω. The strict convexity does not depend on the choice of ∇ and ρ. If we fix a projective scale $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(1)$, there is a canonical choice of a transverse vector field $\xi \in \Gamma(M, TN)$ with $d\rho(\xi) > 0$, called the *affine normal field*, which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y &= \nabla^\xi_X Y - h(X,Y)\xi, \\ \nabla_X \xi &= S(X), \end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where $\nabla^\xi_X Y$, $S(X) \in \Gamma(TM)$ and h is a Riemannian metric on M such that $\left(\xi \lrcorner \tau^{-(n+2)}\right)|_{TM} = vol_h$ and is called the *affine metric*. The connection ∇^ξ is called the *induced connection* and we define the *Fubini–Pick form* by the difference tensor $A = \nabla^h - \nabla^\xi$, where ∇^h is the Levi–Civita connection of h. The endomorphism S is called the *affine shape operator*. For a rescaling $\widehat{\tau} = e^{-\Upsilon}\tau$,

we have

$$\widehat{h} = e^{2\Upsilon} h, \quad \widehat{A} = A,$$
$$\widehat{S}(X) = e^{-2\Upsilon}\big(S(X) + (\xi\Upsilon - |d\Upsilon|_h^2)X + d\Upsilon(X)\,\mathrm{grad}_h\Upsilon - \nabla^\xi_X \mathrm{grad}_h\Upsilon\big),$$

where $\mathrm{grad}_h\Upsilon$ denotes the gradient vector field of $\Upsilon|_M$ with respect to the metric h. Thus the boundary M is endowed with the conformal structure $[h]$. The *conformal density bundle* is a real line bundle $\mathcal{E}[1] = (\wedge^n T^*M)^{-1/n}$ and we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{E}(1)|_M \to \mathcal{E}[1]$ by $\tau|_M \mapsto (vol_h)^{-1/n}$. Thus we identify $\mathcal{E}(w)|_M$ with $\mathcal{E}[w]$ for each w. The weighted tensor $\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha\beta} = \tau^2|_M h_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{E}_{(\alpha\beta)}[2]$ is conformally invariant and called the *conformal metric*. We raise and lower the indices with $\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha\beta}$ and its inverse $\boldsymbol{h}^{\alpha\beta}$. By the flatness of $[\nabla]$, the affine shape operator $S_{\alpha\beta}$ is symmetric and the Fubini–Pick form $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ becomes trace-free and totally symmetric. It is known that $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ vanishes if and only if M is locally projectively equivalent to the sphere; see [NS].

Take a Fefferman defining density $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \tau^2\rho \in \mathcal{E}(2)$ of Ω. We introduce a special local frame for TN as follows. First we take a vector field ξ on a neighborhood of M satisfying

$$\xi\rho = 1, \qquad \xi^i X^j \nabla_i\nabla_j\rho = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad X\rho = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

We set $r := \xi^i\xi^j\nabla_i\nabla_j\rho$ and call r the *transverse curvature*. Since $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ satisfies (1.1), $\xi|_M$ coincides with the affine normal field ([M, Lemma 4.5]). Then we extend a local frame $\{e_\alpha\}$ for TM by the parallel transport along the integral curves of ξ with respect to ∇. We call a local frame $\{e_\infty = \xi, e_\alpha\}$ thus obtained an *adapted frame*. In such a frame, the connection forms of ∇ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_\alpha{}^\beta = \omega^\xi{}_\alpha{}^\beta, \qquad \omega_\infty{}^\alpha = S_\gamma{}^\alpha\theta^\gamma + C^\alpha d\rho, \\ &\omega_\beta{}^\infty = -h_{\beta\gamma}\theta^\gamma, \quad \omega_\infty{}^\infty = -r d\rho, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where C^α is a function, and $\omega^\xi{}_\alpha{}^\beta$ restricts to the connection form of the induced connection on each level set and satisfies $\omega^\xi{}_\alpha{}^\beta(\xi) = 0$. Moreover, the ambient metric $\widetilde{g}_{IJ} = D_I D_J \boldsymbol{\rho}$ satisfies

$$\widetilde{g}_{IJ}|_M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ 1 & \boldsymbol{r}|_M & \\ & & \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{g}^{IJ}|_M = \begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{r}|_M & 1 & \\ 1 & 0 & \\ & & \boldsymbol{h}^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r} := \tau^{-2} r$. We recall from [M] that in an adapted frame, the components of the projective Schouten tensor and the affine shape operator satisfy

$$(n-1)P_{\alpha\beta}|_M - \mathrm{Ric}^h_{\alpha\beta} + (\delta A)_{\alpha\beta} + A_{\alpha\mu\nu}A_\beta{}^{\mu\nu} - S_{\alpha\beta} + (\mathrm{tr}S)h_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\xi\, S_\alpha{}^\beta + S_\alpha{}^\gamma S_\gamma{}^\beta + r S_\alpha{}^\beta - \nabla^\xi_\alpha C^\beta + \delta_\alpha{}^\beta P_{\infty\infty} = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where Ric^h is the Ricci tensor of h and $(\delta A)_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla^h_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma$. Also, the transverse curvature satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} (n+2)r + \xi\log\big(1 - 2r\rho - 4\rho^2 P_{\infty\infty} + 8\rho^3\,\widetilde{h}^{\gamma\mu}P_{\gamma\infty}P_{\mu\infty}\big) \\ + \xi\log\det\big(\delta_\alpha{}^\beta + 2\rho h^{\beta\gamma}P_{\gamma\alpha}\big) - \mathrm{tr}S - h^{\alpha\beta}P_{\alpha\beta} \\ = -\Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\underline{\mathcal{O}}\,\rho^{n/2} + O(\rho^{n/2+1}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $\widetilde{h}^{\alpha\beta}$ is the inverse of $\widetilde{h}_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta} + 2\rho P_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{O}} = \tau^{n+2}\mathcal{O}$. Setting $\rho = 0$ in this equation gives

$$r|_M = \frac{2}{n}\mathrm{tr}S - \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\big(\mathrm{Scal}_h - |A|^2\big), \tag{2.8}$$

where Scal_h is the scalar curvature of h.

Let $\widetilde{\Delta} = -\widetilde{g}^{IJ}\widetilde{\nabla}_I\widetilde{\nabla}_J$ be the Laplacian of $\widetilde{g}_{IJ}$. A projective scale τ is called a *harmonic scale* when it satisfies $\widetilde{\Delta}\tau = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$. In such a scale, the identity

$$r|_M = \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{tr}S = \frac{1}{n(n-1)}(\mathrm{Scal}_h - |A|^2) \tag{2.9}$$

holds ([M, Proposition 5.10]).

3. GJMS operators and Q-curvature

3.1. **GJMS construction.** Let M be the boundary of a strictly convex domain Ω in an $(n+1)$-dimensional locally flat projective manifold N. Let $\widetilde{\nabla}_I$ be the Levi–Civita connection of the ambient metric $\widetilde{g}_{IJ} = D_I D_J \boldsymbol{\rho}$, where $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is a Fefferman defining density of Ω. Since D_I is flat, we have $\widetilde{\nabla}_I = D_I + \widetilde{\Gamma}_{IJ}{}^K$ with

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{IJK} = \frac{1}{2}D_I D_J D_K \boldsymbol{\rho} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{(IJK)}(-1).$$

When n is even the Monge–Ampère equation $\det \widetilde{g} = -1 + \mathcal{O}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}$ implies

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{IK}{}^K = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\mathcal{O}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}D_I\boldsymbol{\rho} - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}D_I\mathcal{O} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}), \tag{3.1}$$

while the right-hand side is replaced by $O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^\infty)$ when n is odd. Since $T^I\widetilde{\Gamma}_{IJK} = 0$, we have $\widetilde{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\rho} = -(n+2)$.

As in conformal and CR cases, $\widetilde{\Delta}$ satisfies the following commutation relations:

Lemma 3.1. *For a density $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}(w)$ and a positive integer m, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [\widetilde{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\rho}^m]\widetilde{f} &= -m(2w+2m+n)\boldsymbol{\rho}^{m-1}\widetilde{f}, \\ [\widetilde{\Delta}^m, \boldsymbol{\rho}]\widetilde{f} &= -m(2w-2m+n+4)\widetilde{\Delta}^{m-1}\widetilde{f}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

By using the above lemma, we can construct conformally invariant differential operators as follows: Let $f \in \mathcal{E}[w]$ be a conformal density on M. We extend f to a density $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}(w)$ and apply $\widetilde{\Delta}^m$. By (3.2), we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta}^m(\widetilde{f} + \phi\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \widetilde{\Delta}^m\widetilde{f} - m(2w-2m+n)\widetilde{\Delta}^{m-1}\phi + \boldsymbol{\rho}\widetilde{\Delta}^m\phi$$

for any density $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(w-2)$. Therefore $\widetilde{\Delta}^m\widetilde{f}|_M$ is independent of the choice of an extension when $w = m - n/2$, and defines a differential operator

$$P_m : \mathcal{E}[m-n/2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[-m-n/2],$$

which we call the *(conformal Codazzi) GJMS operator*.

The following proposition is proved in the same way as in [GJMS] and [FH]:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}[m-n/2]$.*

(i) *There exists an extension $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}(m-n/2)$ of f such that*

$$\widetilde{\Delta}\widetilde{f} = O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{m-1}).$$

Moreover, such an extension is unique modulo $O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^m)$ and satisfies $c_m\boldsymbol{\rho}^{1-m}\widetilde{\Delta}\widetilde{f}|_M = P_m f$ with $c_m = 2^{m-1}\{(m-1)!\}^2$.

(ii) *Let $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(1)$ be a projective scale and set $\rho = \tau^{-2}\boldsymbol{\rho}$. Then there exist $A \in \mathcal{E}(m-n/2)$ and $B \in \mathcal{E}(-m-n/2)$ such that $A|_M = f$ and*

$$\widetilde{\Delta}(A + B\boldsymbol{\rho}^m \log|\rho|) = O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^\infty).$$

Moreover, A and B are unique modulo $O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^m)$ and $O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^\infty)$ respectively, and it holds that $c'_m B|_M = P_m f$ with $c'_m = -2^m m\{(m-1)!\}^2$.

Next we examine the dependence on the choice of a Fefferman defining density. When n is odd, the Fefferman defining density is unique to infinite order, so P_m does not depend on the choice of $\boldsymbol{\rho}$. On the other hand, we must restrict the range of m when n is even:

Proposition 3.3. *Let n be even. Then the GJMS operator P_m is independent of the choice of a Fefferman defining density $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ if $1 \le m \le n/2$.*

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\rho}'$ be another Fefferman defining density and $\widetilde{g}'_{IJ}$ the associated ambient metric. Since $\boldsymbol{\rho}' = \boldsymbol{\rho} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2})$, the Laplacian of $\widetilde{g}'_{IJ}$ satisfies $\widetilde{\Delta}' = -\widetilde{g}'^{IJ} D_I D_J + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}) = \widetilde{\Delta} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2})$. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}[m - n/2]$. We extend f to $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}(m - n/2)$ so that

$$\widetilde{\Delta}\widetilde{f} = \psi \boldsymbol{\rho}^{m-1}, \quad \psi|_M = P_m f$$

holds. Then $\widetilde{f}$ satisfies $\widetilde{\Delta}'\widetilde{f} = (\psi + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}))\boldsymbol{\rho}^{m-1}$ if $m \le n/2$, so we have $P'_m = P_m$. □

By following the CR case ([HMM]), we will introduce a further normalization on $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ so that $P_{n/2+2}$ is well-defined for n even.

Lemma 3.4. *Let n be even. Then there exists a defining density $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ of Ω such that*

$$\mathcal{J}[\boldsymbol{\rho}] = -1 + \mathcal{O}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}, \quad \widetilde{\Delta}\mathcal{O} = O(\boldsymbol{\rho}),$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is the Laplacian of $\widetilde{g}_{IJ} = D_I D_J \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Moreover, a defining density $\boldsymbol{\rho}'$ satisfies the same equations if and only if there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(-n-2)$ such that $\boldsymbol{\rho}' = \boldsymbol{\rho} + \phi\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}\phi = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$.

Proof. Take a defining density $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ such that $\mathcal{J}[\boldsymbol{\rho}] = -1 + \mathcal{O}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}$. Then any Fefferman defining density is written in the form $\boldsymbol{\rho}' = \boldsymbol{\rho} + \phi\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}$ with a density $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(-n-2)$. By using the formula in [M, Lemma 3.2], we have

$$\mathcal{J}[\boldsymbol{\rho}'] = -1 + (\mathcal{O} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\widetilde{\Delta}\phi)\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+3})$$

so the obstruction density of $\boldsymbol{\rho}'$ satisfies $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\widetilde{\Delta}\phi + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)$. Then the commutation relation (3.2) gives

$$\widetilde{\Delta}'\mathcal{O}' = \widetilde{\Delta}\mathcal{O} + (n+6)\widetilde{\Delta}\phi + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}). \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, setting $\phi = -(n+6)^{-2}\boldsymbol{\rho}\widetilde{\Delta}\mathcal{O}$, we obtain $\boldsymbol{\rho}'$ such that $\widetilde{\Delta}'\mathcal{O}' = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$. The second statement of the lemma also follows from (3.3). □

A defining density given as in the above lemma is called a *strict Fefferman defining density*.

Proposition 3.5. *When n is even, the operators $P_{n/2+2}$ is independent of the choice of a strict Fefferman defining density.*

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}'$ be strict Fefferman defining densities. By Lemma 3.4, we can write as $\boldsymbol{\rho}' = \boldsymbol{\rho} + \phi\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(-n-2)$ satisfying $\widetilde{\Delta}\phi = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$. The corresponding obstruction densities satisfy $\mathcal{O}|_M = \mathcal{O}'|_M$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{O} - \mathcal{O}') = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$, so it holds that $\mathcal{O} - \mathcal{O}' = O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)$. Thus, from (3.1) we have

$$\widetilde{g}'^{IJ}\widetilde{\Gamma}'_{IJ}{}^{K} - \widetilde{g}^{IJ}\widetilde{\Gamma}_{IJ}{}^{K} = O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}). \tag{3.4}$$

The associated ambient metrics satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{g}'^{IJ} = \widetilde{g}^{IJ} &- \Big(\frac{n}{2}+2\Big)\Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\phi T^I T^J \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2} \\ &- \Big(\frac{n}{2}+2\Big)(T^I D^J\phi + T^J D^I\phi + \phi\widetilde{g}^{IJ})\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{E}[2]$. By Proposition 3.2 (i), there is an extension $\widetilde{f}$ of f such that $\widetilde{\Delta}\widetilde{f} = \psi\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}$ and $c_{n/2+2}\psi|_M = P_{n/2+2}f$. Then by (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Delta}'\widetilde{f} &= \widetilde{\Delta}\widetilde{f} + \Big(\frac{n}{2}+2\Big)\Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\phi T^I T^J D_I D_J \widetilde{f}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2} \\ &\quad + \Big(\frac{n}{2}+2\Big)(2T^I D^J \phi D_I D_J \widetilde{f} - \phi\widetilde{\Delta}\widetilde{f})\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}) \\ &= \psi\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} + \Big(\frac{n}{2}+2\Big)(n+2)\phi\widetilde{f}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2} + (n+4)D^I\phi D_I\widetilde{f}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} \\ &\quad + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, setting $\widetilde{f}' = \widetilde{f} + (n/2+2)\phi\widetilde{f}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Delta}'\widetilde{f}' &= \widetilde{\Delta}'\widetilde{f} - \Big(\frac{n}{2}+2\Big)(n+2)\phi\widetilde{f}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2} + \Big(\frac{n}{2}+2\Big)\widetilde{\Delta}(\phi\widetilde{f})\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} \\ &= \psi{\boldsymbol{\rho}'}^{n/2+1} + O({\boldsymbol{\rho}'}^{n/2+2}),\end{aligned}$$

which implies $P'_{n/2+2}f = P_{n/2+2}f$. □

It is proved in [G1] and [GH] that on a general even dimensional conformal manifold there is no conformally invariant linear differential operator whose principal part agrees with that of Δ_h^m for $m \geq n/2+1$. In our case, an additional structure on M, namely the Fubini–Pick form with the Gauss–Codazzi equations, enables us to define an over critical GJMS operator.

As an example, we will compute a formula for $P_1 : \mathcal{E}[1-n/2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[-1-n/2]$. We fix a projective scale $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(1)$, and take an adapted frame $\{e_\infty = \xi, e_\alpha\}$. For an arbitrary extension $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}(1-n/2)$ of $f \in \mathcal{E}[1-n/2]$, we have

$$D_I D_J \widetilde{f} = \begin{pmatrix} n/2(n/2-1)\widetilde{f} & -n/2\nabla_j\widetilde{f} \\ -n/2\nabla_i\widetilde{f} & \nabla_i\nabla_j\widetilde{f} + (1-n/2)P_{ij}\widetilde{f} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, using (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}P_1 f &= -\widetilde{g}^{IJ} D_I D_J \widetilde{f}|_M \\ &= -\boldsymbol{h}^{\alpha\beta}\Big(\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\widetilde{f} + \Big(1-\frac{n}{2}\Big)P_{\alpha\beta}\widetilde{f}\Big) + n\nabla_\infty\widetilde{f} + \frac{n}{2}\Big(\frac{n}{2}-1\Big)\boldsymbol{r}\widetilde{f} \\ &= \Delta_h f + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}(\mathrm{Scal}_h - |A|^2)f.\end{aligned}$$

3.2. **Self-adjointness.** We will prove that the GJMS operators are self-adjoint. First we relate the ambient Laplacian $\widetilde{\Delta}$ to the Laplacian Δ_g of the Blaschke metric.

Lemma 3.6. (i) *Let $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume $du = O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{-1})$ in local coordinates around each boundary point. If $w \neq 0$, we further assume that $u = O(1)$. Then when n is even we have*

$$2(-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{1-w/2}\widetilde{\Delta}\big((-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{w/2}u\big) = \big(\Delta_g + w(n+w)\big)u + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}). \tag{3.6}$$

When n is odd, the error term is replaced by $O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^\infty)$.
(ii) *Let $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}(2)$. Then when n is even we have*

$$2\widetilde{\Delta}\widetilde{f} = (\Delta_g + 2(n+2))\big((-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-1}\widetilde{f}\big) + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. (i) We consider the case of even n. We set $\tau' := (-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{1/2}$. Then τ' defines a projective scale inside Ω. We denote by ∇' the associated connection, which is singular along the boundary. Since $\nabla'\boldsymbol{\rho} = 0$, the Blaschke metric is given by $g_{ij} =$

$-P'_{ij}$, where P'_{ij} is the projective Schouten tensor of ∇'. We set $\boldsymbol{u} = (-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{w/2}u$. Then in the scale τ' we have

$$\widetilde{g}_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\boldsymbol{\rho} & 0 \\ 0 & -2\boldsymbol{\rho}g_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_I D_J \boldsymbol{u} = \begin{pmatrix} w(w-1)\boldsymbol{u} & (w-1)\nabla'_j\boldsymbol{u} \\ (w-1)\nabla'_i\boldsymbol{u} & \nabla'_i\nabla'_j\boldsymbol{u} - wg_{ij}\boldsymbol{u} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, using (3.1) and the assumption on u, we compute as

$$2(-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{1-w/2}\widetilde{\Delta}\boldsymbol{u} = -g^{ij}\nabla'_i\nabla'_j u + w(n+w)u + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}).$$

We shall compare $-g^{ij}\nabla'_i\nabla'_j u$ with $\Delta_g u$. Let $B_{ij}{}^k \in \mathcal{E}_{(ij)}{}^k$ be the difference tensor defined by $\nabla^g - \nabla'$. The flatness of $[\nabla]$ implies $\nabla'_{[i}g_{j]k} = -(1/2(n-1))\nabla'_l C_{ij}{}^l{}_k = 0$, so it holds that $B_{ijk} = 1/2\nabla'_i g_{jk} \in \mathcal{E}_{(ijk)}$, where the index is lowered by g_{ij}. Hence we have

$$g^{ij}B_{ij}{}^k = g^{kl}B_{li}{}^i = g^{kl}vol_g^{-1}\nabla'_l vol_g.$$

The Monge–Ampère equation gives

$$vol_g = (-2\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-(n/2+1)}\Big(1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+3})\Big).$$

We take a projective scale τ on N and set $\rho = \tau^{-2}\boldsymbol{\rho}$. Then the associated connection ∇ satisfies $\nabla = \nabla' + d\rho/2\rho$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} g^{kl}vol_g^{-1}\nabla'_l vol_g &= g^{kl}vol_g^{-1}\nabla_l vol_g + \Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)g^{kl}(\rho^{-1}\nabla_l\rho)vol_g \\ &= g^{kl}\nabla_l \log\Big(1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+3})\Big). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the Blaschke metric, we have $g^{kl} = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$. We also have $g^{kl}\nabla_l\rho = O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)$ as follows: In the projective scale τ', the top slot of $\widetilde{g}^{KL}D_L\rho$ equals $(-2\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-1}g^{kl}\nabla_l\rho$. On the other hand, $\widetilde{g}^{KL}D_L\rho = \tau^{-2}T^K + O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$. Thus we have $g^{kl}\nabla_l\rho = O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)$. It follows from these estimates that

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ij}B_{ij}{}^k &= -\frac{1}{2}g^{kl}\nabla_l(\mathcal{O}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}) + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+4}) \\ &= O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, by the assumption that $du = O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{-1})$, we obtain $-g^{ij}\nabla'_i\nabla'_j u = -g^{ij}\nabla^g_i\nabla^g_j u - g^{ij}B_{ij}{}^k\nabla_k u = \Delta_g u + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1})$, which yields (3.6).

(ii) As in the proof of (i), we compute as

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta}\widetilde{f} &= -\widetilde{g}^{IJ}D_I D_J\widetilde{f} + \widetilde{g}^{IJ}\widetilde{\Gamma}_{IJ}{}^K D_K\widetilde{f} \\ &= (n+2)(-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-1}\widetilde{f} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\nabla'_i\nabla'_j\big((-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-1}\widetilde{f}\big) - \Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\mathcal{O}\widetilde{f}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{g}^{IJ}D_I\mathcal{O}D_J\widetilde{f}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

By the first equality in (3.8), we have

$$-g^{ij}\nabla'_i\nabla'_j\big((-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-1}\widetilde{f}\big) = \Delta_g\big((-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-1}\widetilde{f}\big) - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\nabla'_i\mathcal{O}\nabla'_j\widetilde{f}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}).$$

Also, calculating in the projective scale τ', we have

$$-\widetilde{g}^{IJ}D_I\mathcal{O}D_J\widetilde{f}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} = (n+2)\mathcal{O}\widetilde{f}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2} + \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\nabla'_i\mathcal{O}\nabla'_j\widetilde{f}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}.$$

Substituting these equations to (3.9), we obtain (3.7). □

Proposition 3.7. (i) *The GJMS operator P_m is self-adjoint for $1 \le m \le n/2$ when n is even and for all m when n is odd.*
(ii) *Let n be even and let $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ be a strict Fefferman defining density. Then $P_{n/2+2}$ is self-adjoint.*

Proof. (i) We fix a projective scale $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(1)$ and take an adapted frame $\{e_\infty = \xi, e_\alpha\}$ with the dual $\{d\rho, \theta^\alpha\}$. We set

$$\widetilde{h}_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta} + 2\rho P_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \widetilde{\theta}^\alpha = \theta^\alpha + 2\rho \widetilde{h}^{\alpha\gamma} P_{\gamma\infty} d\rho,$$
$$\widetilde{r} = r + 2\rho P_{\infty\infty} - 4\rho^2 \widetilde{h}^{\gamma\mu} P_{\gamma\infty} P_{\mu\infty},$$

where $\widetilde{h}^{\alpha\beta}$ is the inverse of $\widetilde{h}_{\alpha\beta}$. Then the Blaschke metric is represented as

$$g = \frac{\widetilde{h}_{\alpha\beta}}{-2\rho}\, \widetilde{\theta}^\alpha \cdot \widetilde{\theta}^\beta + \frac{1 - 2\widetilde{r}\rho}{4\rho^2}\, d\rho^2.$$

Thus the outward unit normal filed on each level set of ρ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= \frac{-2\rho}{(1-2\widetilde{r}\rho)^{1/2}}(\xi - 2\rho \widetilde{h}^{\alpha\gamma} P_{\gamma\infty} e_\alpha) \\ &\equiv -2\rho(1 + O(\rho))\xi \mod \operatorname{Ker} d\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

We identify a neighborhood of M in $\overline{\Omega}$ with the product $M \times (-\epsilon_0, 0]$ via the flow generated by ξ so that the second component is given by ρ. Since

$$vol_g = \frac{1 + O(\rho)}{(-2\rho)^{n/2+1}} d\rho \wedge vol_h, \tag{3.11}$$

we have

$$(\nu \lrcorner\, vol_g)|_{TM_\epsilon} = \frac{1 + O(\epsilon)}{(2\epsilon)^{n/2}} vol_h, \tag{3.12}$$

where $M_\epsilon = \{\rho = -\epsilon\}$.

Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{E}[m - n/2]$. We take $A_j \in \mathcal{E}(m - n/2)$ and $B_j \in \mathcal{E}(-m - n/2)$ for $j = 1, 2$ as in Proposition 3.2 (ii), and set

$$u_j = (-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{1/2(n/2-m)}(A_j + B_j \boldsymbol{\rho}^m \log|\rho|).$$

Since u_j satisfies the assumption in Lemma 3.6 (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\Delta_g + (m - n/2)(m + n/2)\big) u_j &= 2(-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{1-1/2(m-n/2)} \widetilde{\Delta}(A_j + B_j \boldsymbol{\rho}^m \log|\rho|) \\ &\quad + O(\rho^{n/2+1}) \\ &= O(\rho^{n/2+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for even n. When n is odd, the last term is replaced by $O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^\infty)$. We shall compute

$$\operatorname{lp} \int_{\{\rho < -\epsilon\}} \big(\langle du_1, du_2\rangle_g + (m - n/2)(m + n/2) u_1 u_2\big) vol_g, \tag{3.13}$$

where 'lp' stands for the coefficient of $\log(1/\epsilon)$ in the expansion of the integral. By Green's formula, this is equal to

$$\operatorname{lp} \int_{\{\rho < -\epsilon\}} u_1 \big(\Delta_g + (m - n/2)(m + n/2)\big) u_2 vol_g + \operatorname{lp} \int_{M_\epsilon} (u_1 \cdot \nu u_2) \nu \lrcorner\, vol_g.$$

The first term equals 0 since the integrand is $O(1)$ for $1 \le m \le n/2$ when n is even and for all m when n is odd. It follows from (3.10) and (3.12) that the second term is given by

$$2^{-n/2}(-1)^m c_m'^{-1} \int_M \big((n/2 + m) f_1 P_m f_2 + (n/2 - m) f_2 P_m f_1\big).$$

This integral must be symmetric in f_1 and f_2 since (3.13) is symmetric in u_1 and u_2. Thus we have

$$\int_M (f_1 P_m f_2 - f_2 P_m f_1) = 0,$$

so P_m is self-adjoint.
(ii) Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{E}[2]$ and take $\widetilde{f}_1, \widetilde{f}_2 \in \mathcal{E}(2)$ as in Proposition 3.2 (i), and set $u_j = (-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-1}\widetilde{f}_j$ $(j = 1, 2)$. By Lemma 3.6 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\Delta_g + 2(n+2)\big)u_j &= 2\widetilde{\Delta}\big((-\boldsymbol{\rho})u_j\big) + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}) \\ &= 2\psi_j\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle du_1, du_2\rangle + 2(n+2)u_1u_2 &= u_1\big(\Delta_g u_2 + 2(n+2)u_2\big) + (\mathrm{div}) \\ &= -2\widetilde{f}_1\psi_2\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}) + (\mathrm{div}).\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of (i), we see the log term of the integration of this function. Since the divergence term does not contribute to it, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{lp}\int_{\{\rho<-\epsilon\}}\big(\langle du_1, du_2\rangle + 2(n+2)u_1u_2\big)vol_g \\ &= \mathrm{lp}\int_{\{-\epsilon_0<\rho<-\epsilon\}}\big(-2\widetilde{f}_1\psi_2\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1})\big)\frac{1+O(\rho)}{(-2\rho)^{n/2+1}}d\rho\wedge vol_h \\ &= c\int_M f_1 P_{n/2+2} f_2,\end{aligned}$$

with a nonzero constant c. It follows from the symmetry in u_1 and u_2 that $P_{n/2+2}$ is self-adjoint. □

3.3. **Conformal Codazzi Q-curvature.** Let n be even. We take a conformal scale $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}[1]$ on M and extend it arbitrarily to a projective scale $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(1)$ on N. We define the *(conformal Codazzi) Q-curvature* by

$$Q = -\widetilde{\Delta}^{n/2}\log t\,\big|_M \in \mathcal{E}[-n],$$

where t is the fiber coordinate of the $\mathbb{R}_+$-bundle $\widetilde{N}$ associated with the trivialization by τ^{-1}. Note that the definition is independent of the choice of τ; If we take a different extension, then $\log t$ changes by a function $f \in \mathcal{E}(0)$ with $f = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$, so Q is invariant.

Let $\widehat{\sigma} = e^{-\Upsilon}\sigma$ be another conformal scale. We extend $\widehat{\sigma}$ to $\widehat{\tau} = e^{-\widetilde{\Upsilon}}\tau$ with an extension $\widetilde{\Upsilon}$ of Υ. Then the Q-curvature changes as

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{Q} &= -\widetilde{\Delta}^{n/2}(\log t - \widetilde{\Upsilon})\,\big|_M \\ &= Q + P_{n/2}\Upsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Since $P_{n/2}$ is self-adjoint and satisfies $P_{n/2}1 = 0$, the total Q-curvature

$$\overline{Q} = \int_M Q$$

is a conformal invariant.

As in the usual conformal case ([FH]), the Q-curvature is related to the harmonic extension of $\log t$:

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(1)$ be a projective scale and set $\rho = \tau^{-2}\boldsymbol{\rho}$, where $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is a Fefferman defining density. Then there exist $A \in \mathcal{E}(0)$ and $B \in \mathcal{E}(-n)$ such that $A|_M = 0$ and*

$$\widetilde{\Delta}(\log t + A + B\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}\log|\rho|) = O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^\infty). \tag{3.14}$$

Such A and B are unique modulo $O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2})$ and $O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^\infty)$ respectively and it holds that $2^{n/2-1}n\{(n/2-1)!\}^2 B|_M = Q$.

With this lemma, we show the following proposition:

Proposition 3.9. *There exists a nonzero universal constant a_n such that*

$$L = a_n \overline{Q},$$

where L is the coefficient of the logarithmic term in the volume expansion of the Blaschke metric g.

Proof. We fix a projective scale and take A and B as in the previous lemma. It follows from Lemma 3.6 (i) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_g \log|\rho| &= -2\boldsymbol{\rho}\widetilde{\Delta}\log|\rho| + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}) \\ &= -2\boldsymbol{\rho}\widetilde{\Delta}(\log|\boldsymbol{\rho}| - 2\log t) + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}) \\ &= 2n + 4\boldsymbol{\rho}\widetilde{\Delta}\log t + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}).\end{aligned}$$

Then, multiplying both sides of (3.14) by $-2\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and using Lemma 3.6 (i) again, we have

$$\Delta_g(1/2\log|\rho| - A - B\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}\log|\rho|) = n + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}).$$

Hence by (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}L &= \mathrm{lp}\int_{\{\rho<-\epsilon^2/2\}} vol_g \\ &= \mathrm{lp}\,\frac{2}{n}\int_{M_\epsilon} \nu\cdot\Big(\frac{1}{2}\log|\rho| - A - B\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}\log|\rho|\Big)\nu\lrcorner\, vol_g \\ &= a_n\overline{Q}\end{aligned}$$

with a nonzero constant a_n. □

4. Variation formulas

4.1. **The first variation formula.** Let N be a locally flat projective manifold of odd dimension $n+1$, and let Ω be a relatively compact domain in N with strictly convex boundary M. We consider a smooth family of strictly convex domains $\{\Omega_t\}$ with $\Omega_0 = \Omega$ and compute $(d/dt)|_{t=0}\overline{Q_t}$, where $\overline{Q_t}$ is the total conformal Codazzi Q-curvature of $\partial\Omega_t$. Since $\overline{Q_t}$ agrees with the log term L_t in the volume expansion of the Blaschke metric, we will compute $\dot{L} = (d/dt)|_{t=0}L_t$ instead. We take a family of Fefferman defining densities $\boldsymbol{\rho}_t$ of Ω_t which is smooth in t and denote the associated obstruction density and the Blaschke metric by $\mathcal{O}_t$ and g^t_{ij} respectively. We will omit the subscript or superscript t when $t = 0$.

The logarithmic term in the volume expansion can be described in terms of Riesz renormalization (see, e.g., [Al] for the details): We fix a projective scale $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(1)$ and set

$$\zeta_t(z) = \int_{\Omega_t} (-\rho_t)^z vol_{g^t},$$

where $\rho_t = \tau^{-2}\boldsymbol{\rho}_t$. Then $\zeta_t(z)$ is holomorphic in z if $\mathrm{Re}z$ is sufficiently large and extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it has at most simple pole at $z = 0$ and satisfies

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\zeta_t(z) = \mathrm{lp}\int_{\{\rho_t<-\epsilon\}} vol_{g^t} = \frac{1}{2}L_t.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\dot{L} &= \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\dot{\zeta}_0(z) \\ &= \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\int_\Omega \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(-\rho_t)^z vol_{g^t} \\ &\quad + \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{1}{t}\Big(\int_{\Omega_t}(-\rho_t)^z vol_{g^t} - \int_\Omega (-\rho_t)^z vol_{g^t}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

The limit in the second term in the last expression equals 0 when $\mathrm{Re} z$ is large enough since $(-\rho_t)^z(\chi_{\Omega_t}-\chi_\Omega)vol_{g^t}$ is uniformly convergent to 0 in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$, where χ_{Ω_t} and χ_Ω are the characteristic functions. The first term is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\int_\Omega \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(-\rho_t)^z vol_{g^t} &= \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} z\int_\Omega (-\rho)^z\frac{\dot\rho}{\rho}\,vol_g + \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\int_\Omega(-\rho)^z\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}vol_{g^t}\\ &= \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\int_\Omega(-\rho)^z\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}vol_{g^t}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that the integral

$$\int_\Omega(-\rho)^z\frac{\dot\rho}{\rho}\,vol_g$$

has at most simple pole at $z=0$. Thus we obtain the following expression for $\dot L$:

$$\dot L = 2\,\mathrm{lp}\int_{\{\rho<-\epsilon\}}\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}vol_{g^t}.$$

The approximate Monge–Ampère equation for $\boldsymbol{\rho}_t$ gives

$$\det g^t_{ij} = (-2\boldsymbol{\rho}_t)^{-(n+2)}(1-\mathcal{O}_t\boldsymbol{\rho}_t^{n/2+1}),$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}vol_{g^t} &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(\det g^t_{ij})^{1/2}\\ &= -\Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\Big(\frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}+O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1})\Big)vol_g.\end{aligned}$$

We shall compute $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}/\boldsymbol{\rho}$ by expressing $\widetilde{g}^{IJ}D_ID_J\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$ in two ways. First we let $\nabla'\in[\nabla]$ be the representative connection with respect to the singular projective scale $\tau'=(-\boldsymbol{\rho})^{1/2}$, as in the proof of Lemma 3.6 (i). Then near the boundary it holds that

$$\widetilde{g}^{IJ}D_ID_J\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = (n+2)\frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\nabla'_i\nabla'_j\Big(\frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\Big).$$

Using the first equality of (3.8) and the estimates $g^{kl}\nabla_l\boldsymbol{\rho}=O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)$, $g^{kl}\nabla_k\boldsymbol{\rho}\nabla_l\boldsymbol{\rho}=4\boldsymbol{\rho}^2(1+O(\boldsymbol{\rho}))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}g^{ij}\nabla'_i\nabla'_j\Big(\frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\Big)+\Delta_g\Big(\frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\Big) &= g^{ij}B_{ij}{}^k\nabla_k\Big(\frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\Big)\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\mathcal{O}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}g^{kl}\nabla_l\boldsymbol{\rho}\Big(\frac{\nabla_k\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}-\frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\nabla_k\boldsymbol{\rho}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}^2}\Big)+O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1})\\ &= (n+2)\mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}+O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\widetilde{g}^{IJ}D_ID_J\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = (n+2)\frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_g\Big(\frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\Big)+O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}). \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand, by differentiating the Monge–Ampère equation $\det(D_ID_J\boldsymbol{\rho}_t)=-1+\mathcal{O}_t\boldsymbol{\rho}_t^{n/2+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{g}^{IJ}D_ID_J\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} &= \big(-1+O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1})\big)^{-1}\Big(\Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}+O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1})\Big)\\ &= -\Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}+O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Comparing (4.1) and (4.2) gives

$$\frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = -\frac{1}{2(n+2)}\Delta_g\Big(\frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\Big)+O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1}),$$

which implies that the integral of $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}/\boldsymbol{\rho}$ does not yield a logarithmic term. Consequently the variation of L is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{L} &= -\Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\,\mathrm{lp}\int_{\{\rho<-\epsilon\}}\mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}vol_g\\ &= -\Big(\frac{n}{2}+1\Big)\,\mathrm{lp}\int_{\{-\epsilon_0<\rho<-\epsilon\}}\mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2}\frac{1+O(\rho)}{(-2\rho)^{n/2+1}}d\rho\wedge vol_h\\ &= k_n\int_M\mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}},\end{aligned}$$

where $k_n=(n/2+1)(-2)^{-n/2-1}$.

Thus we have proved the following

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\{\Omega_t\}$ be a smooth family of strictly convex domains in a locally flat projective manifold N of odd dimension $n+1$. Let $\boldsymbol{\rho}_t$ be a Fefferman defining density of Ω_t. Then the total conformal Codazzi Q-curvatures $\overline{Q_t}$ on $\partial\Omega_t$ satisfy*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\overline{Q_t}=k_n\int_M\mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}},$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ is the obstruction density on $M=\partial\Omega_0$ and k_n is a nonzero universal constant.

Consequently, the critical point of $\overline{Q}$ is given by hypersurfaces whose obstructions vanish. As an example, let us compute the obstruction density for a strictly convex surface M in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We take the canonical affine scale $\tau=(dx^1\wedge dx^2\wedge dx^3)^{-1/4}$, for which $P_{ij}=0$. Then we differentiate (2.7) and use (2.6) to obtain $2\underline{\mathcal{O}}|_M=-|S|^2-r|_M\mathrm{tr}S+4r^2|_M+(\mathrm{div})$. Since τ is harmonic, $2r|_M=\mathrm{tr}S=\mathrm{Scal}-|A|^2$ by (2.9). Noting that $\mathrm{tf}\,\mathrm{Ric}^h_{\alpha\beta}=\mathrm{tf}A_{\alpha\mu\nu}A_\beta{}^{\mu\nu}=0$ in dimension 2, we also have $\mathrm{tf}S_{\alpha\beta}=(\delta A)_{\alpha\beta}$ from (2.5). Therefore the obstruction is given by

$$\underline{\mathcal{O}}|_M=-\frac{1}{2}|\delta A|^2+(\mathrm{div}).$$

Integrating this over M, we see that $\mathcal{O}\equiv 0$ if and only if $\delta A\equiv 0$, or equivalently $\mathrm{tf}S\equiv 0$. A hypersurface with $\mathrm{tf}S\equiv 0$ in affine scales is known as an *affine sphere*. It is a classical theorem of Blaschke ($n=2$) and Deicke ($n\geq 3$) that a strictly convex affine sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is projectively equivalent to the sphere (see [NS] for a proof). Thus we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 4.2. *A strictly convex surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is a critical point of $\overline{Q}$ if and only if it is projectively equivalent to S^2.*

4.2. **The second variation formula.** We will derive a second variation formula of $\overline{Q}$ for the deformation of Ω parametrized by a density on the boundary M. Let $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ be a strict Fefferman defining density of Ω. We fix a conformal density $f\in\mathcal{E}[2]$ and extend it to a projective density $\widetilde{f}\in\mathcal{E}(2)$ with $\widetilde{\Delta}\widetilde{f}=O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$, where $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is the Laplacian of the ambient metric $\widetilde{g}_{IJ}=D_ID_J\boldsymbol{\rho}$. We set

$$X^I=\widetilde{g}^{IJ}D_J\widetilde{f}\in\mathcal{E}^I(1).$$

Recall that the tractor field X^I can be identified with a vector filed X on $\widetilde{N}$, the $\mathbb{R}_+$-bundle of positive elements in $\mathcal{E}(-1)$. Since X is homogeneous of degree 0, it projects to a vector field $\underline{X}$ on N. Let Fl_t and $\underline{\mathrm{Fl}}_t$ be the flows generated by X and $\underline{X}$ respectively. We consider the family of strictly convex domains $\{\Omega_t\}$ defined by $\Omega_t=\underline{\mathrm{Fl}}_t(\Omega)$.

Lemma 4.3. *There exist Fefferman defining densities $\boldsymbol{\rho}_t$ of Ω_t which satisfy $\boldsymbol{\rho}_0=\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}:=(d/dt)|_{t=0}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_t=-2\widetilde{f}+O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$.*

Proof. We put $\boldsymbol{\rho}'_t = \mathrm{Fl}^*_{-t}\boldsymbol{\rho}$. Then it holds that $\boldsymbol{\rho}'_0 = \boldsymbol{\rho}$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}' = -X^I D_I \boldsymbol{\rho} = -2\widetilde{f}$. Since $\widetilde{\Delta}\widetilde{f} = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathcal{J}[\boldsymbol{\rho}'_t] = \mathcal{J}[\boldsymbol{\rho}]\, \widetilde{g}^{IJ} D_I D_J \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}' = O(\boldsymbol{\rho}),$$

which implies $\mathcal{J}[\boldsymbol{\rho}'_t]\big|_M = -1 + O(t^2)$. Starting with $\boldsymbol{\rho}'_t$, we construct a Fefferman defining density $\boldsymbol{\rho}''_t$ by the algorithm described in the proof of [M, Proposition 3.3]. Then $\boldsymbol{\rho}''_t$ is written as

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}''_t = (-\mathcal{J}[\boldsymbol{\rho}'_t])^{-(1/n+2)} \boldsymbol{\rho}'_t + \phi_t (\boldsymbol{\rho}'_t)^2$$

with some density ϕ_t, so it holds that $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}'' = -2\widetilde{f} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$. Since $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}''_0$ are both Fefferman defining densities, we can write as $\boldsymbol{\rho}''_0 = \boldsymbol{\rho} + \psi \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}$. Thus, setting $\boldsymbol{\rho}_t = \boldsymbol{\rho}''_t - \mathrm{Fl}^*_{-t}(\psi \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2})$, we obtain a family of Fefferman defining densities with $\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 = \boldsymbol{\rho}$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = -2\widetilde{f} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$. □

First we present a variation formula of the obstruction density.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\boldsymbol{\rho}_t$ be the Fefferman defining density of Ω_t given by the previous lemma. Then the obstruction densities $\mathcal{O}_t$ of $\boldsymbol{\rho}_t$ satisfy*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathrm{Fl}_t^* \mathcal{O}_t|_M = b_n P_{n/2+2} f, \tag{4.3}$$

where b_n is a nonzero universal constant and $P_{n/2+2}$ is the GJMS operator.

Proof. Differentiating both sides of $\mathcal{J}[\boldsymbol{\rho}_t] = -1 + \mathcal{O}_t \boldsymbol{\rho}_t^{n/2+1}$ at $t = 0$, we have

$$\widetilde{g}^{IJ} D_I D_J \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = -\Big(\frac{n}{2} + 1\Big) \mathcal{O} \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2} - \dot{\mathcal{O}} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}).$$

It follows from this equation and (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} &= -\widetilde{g}^{IJ} D_I D_J \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} + \widetilde{g}^{IJ} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{IJ}{}^K D_K \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \\ &= \Big(\dot{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{1}{2}\, \widetilde{g}^{IJ} D_I \mathcal{O} D_J \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\Big) \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, since $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$ and $\widetilde{f}$ satisfy $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} + 2\widetilde{f} = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}(\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} + 2\widetilde{f}) = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$, it holds that $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = -2\widetilde{f} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)$. Thus we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = (\dot{\mathcal{O}} + X^I D_I \mathcal{O}) \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+2}).$$

Applying $\widetilde{\Delta}^{n/2+1}$ to both sides yields

$$b_n P_{n/2+2} f = (\dot{\mathcal{O}} + X^I D_I \mathcal{O})|_M = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathrm{Fl}_t^* \mathcal{O}_t|_M,$$

with a nonzero constant b_n. □

Next, we prepare a lemma on the derivatives of a density $\psi \in \mathcal{E}(-n-2)$. Since ψ is identified with a homogeneous function on $\widetilde{N}$, one can consider the derivative $X\psi \in \mathcal{E}(-n-2)$. On the other hand ψ can also be regarded as a form on N via the isomorphism $\mathcal{E}(-n-2) \cong \wedge^{n+1} T^*N$, so one can consider the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{X}}\psi$. The following lemma asserts that the two derivatives coincide at M.

Lemma 4.5. *For a projective density $\psi \in \mathcal{E}(-n-2)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\underline{X}}\psi = X\psi$$

at M under the identification $\mathcal{E}(-n-2) \cong \wedge^{n+1} T^*N$.

Proof. We take a projective scale $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(1)$ and let $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be the fiber coordinate of $\widetilde{N}$ associated with the trivialization by τ^{-1}. It can be seen that the determinant of a tractor in $\mathcal{E}_{IJ}$ defined in [M] is identical to the one with respect to the volume form

$$\Psi = t^{n+1} dt \wedge \tau^{-(n+2)}$$

on $\widetilde{N}$. Here we regard $\tau^{-(n+2)}$ as a form on $\widetilde{N}$ by pulling it back by the projection map. By the Monge–Ampère equation, the volume form of $\widetilde{g}_{IJ}$ satisfies

$$vol_{\widetilde{g}} = \big(1 + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n/2+1})\big)\Psi.$$

Then it follows from $\mathcal{L}_X vol_{\widetilde{g}} = -\widetilde{\Delta}\widetilde{f}\, vol_{\widetilde{g}} = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ that $\mathcal{L}_X \Psi = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$. Since X is homogeneous of degree 0, there is a function a on N such that $X = at(\partial/\partial t) + \underline{X}$. Using $Xt = at$ and $\mathcal{L}_X dt = d(at)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_X \Psi = t^{n+1} dt \wedge \big(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{X}} \tau^{-(n+2)} + (n+2) a \tau^{-(n+2)}\big),$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{L}_{\underline{X}} \tau^{-(n+2)}|_M = -(n+2) a \tau^{-(n+2)}|_M.$$

If we set $\underline{\psi} = \tau^{n+2}\psi$, the density ψ is identified with the function $t^{-(n+2)}\underline{\psi}$. Then it holds at M that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\underline{X}} \psi = (\underline{X}\underline{\psi})\, \tau^{-(n+2)} + \underline{\psi} \mathcal{L}_{\underline{X}} \tau^{-(n+2)} = \big(\underline{X}\underline{\psi} - (n+2) a \underline{\psi}\big) \tau^{-(n+2)},$$

and that

$$X\psi = t^{-(n+2)} \big(\underline{X}\underline{\psi} - (n+2)\, t^{-1} (Xt) \underline{\psi}\big) = t^{-(n+2)} \big(\underline{X}\underline{\psi} - (n+2) a \underline{\psi}\big).$$

Thus $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{X}} \psi|_M$ and $X\psi|_M$ coincide under the identification $\mathcal{E}(-n-2) \cong \wedge^{n+1} T^* N$. □

Now we are ready to show the following

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ be a strict Fefferman defining density of a strictly convex domain Ω in a locally flat projective manifold N of odd dimension $n+1$. If $\{\Omega_t\}$ is the deformation of Ω defined from $f \in \mathcal{E}[2]$, the total conformal Codazzi Q-curvatures $\overline{Q_t}$ on $\partial\Omega_t$ satisfy*

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big|_{t=0} \overline{Q_t} = k_n' \int_M f P_{n/2+2} f, \tag{4.4}$$

where k_n' is a nonzero universal constant and $P_{n/2+2}$ is the GJMS operator.

Proof. We fix a projective scale $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(1)$ and take Fefferman defining densities $\boldsymbol{\rho}_t = \tau^2 \rho_t$ with the obstruction densities $\mathcal{O}_t = \tau^{-(n+2)} \underline{\mathcal{O}_t}$, as in Lemma 4.3. Recall from the proof of Proposition 4.4 that the derivative $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$ satisfies $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = -2\widetilde{f} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)$.

By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\overline{Q_t} = \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \mathcal{O}_t \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_t = \int_M \underline{\mathrm{Fl}}_t^* (\underline{\mathcal{O}_t} \dot{\rho}_t\, vol_{h^t}),$$

where h^t is the affine metric on $\partial\Omega_t$ with respect to τ. Thus the second derivative of L_t is decomposed as $\ddot{L} = (\mathrm{I}) + (\mathrm{II})$ with

$$(\mathrm{I}) = \int_M \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \underline{\mathrm{Fl}}_t^* (\underline{\mathcal{O}_t} \dot{\rho}_t)\, vol_h, \quad (\mathrm{II}) = \int_M \underline{\mathcal{O}} \dot{\rho} \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \underline{\mathrm{Fl}}_t^* vol_{h^t}.$$

First we compute (I). Using Proposition 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \underline{\mathrm{Fl}}_t^* (\underline{\mathcal{O}_t} \dot{\rho}_t)|_M &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathrm{Fl}_t^* (\tau^n \mathcal{O}_t \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_t)|_M \\ &= \mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(X\tau^n)|_M - 2b_n \tau^n f P_{n/2+2} f + \tau^n \mathcal{O} \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathrm{Fl}_t^* \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_t|_M. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating $\mathrm{Fl}_t^*\boldsymbol{\rho}_t = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ gives $\mathrm{Fl}_t^*\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_t + \mathrm{Fl}_t^*(X^I D_I \boldsymbol{\rho}_t) = O(\boldsymbol{\rho})$, so it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\mathrm{Fl}_t^*\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_t &= -X^I D_I\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - X^J D_J(X^I D_I\boldsymbol{\rho}) + O(\boldsymbol{\rho})\\ &= O(\boldsymbol{\rho}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\text{(I)} = k_n' \int_M f P_{n/2+2} f + \int_M \mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(X\tau^n) \tag{4.5}$$

with a nonzero constant k_n'.

Next we compute (II). For each t, we take a vector field ξ_t on N determined by the conditions $\xi_t\rho_t = 1$ and $\xi_t^i Z^j \nabla_i\nabla_j\rho_t = 0$ for $Z \in \operatorname{Ker} d\rho_t$, where $\nabla \in [\nabla]$ is the representative connection associated with τ. Since $\boldsymbol{\rho}_t$ solves the Monge–Ampère equation at $\partial\Omega_t$, the restriction $\xi_t|_{\partial\Omega_t}$ is affine normal. Thus, using Lemma 4.5, we compute as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\underline{\mathrm{Fl}}_t^* vol_{h^t} &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\underline{\mathrm{Fl}}_t^*(\xi_t \lrcorner \tau^{-(n+2)})\\ &= (\dot{\xi} + \mathcal{L}_{\underline{X}}\xi)\lrcorner\,\tau^{-(n+2)} + \xi\lrcorner\,\mathcal{L}_{\underline{X}}\tau^{-(n+2)}\\ &= d\rho(\dot{\xi} + \mathcal{L}_{\underline{X}}\xi)vol_h - (n+2)\tau^{-1}X\tau\, vol_h.\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the equation $\underline{\mathrm{Fl}}_t^*(\xi_t\rho_t) = 1$ and using $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = -2\widetilde{f} + O(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)$ and $X\boldsymbol{\rho} = 2\widetilde{f}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}d\rho(\dot{\xi} + \mathcal{L}_{\underline{X}}\xi) &= -\xi(\dot{\rho} + \underline{X}\rho)\\ &= -\xi(\tau^{-2}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} + X(\tau^{-2}\boldsymbol{\rho}))\\ &= 2\,\tau^{-1}X\tau + O(\rho),\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\text{(II)} = -n\int_M \underline{\mathcal{O}}\dot{\rho}\,\tau^{-1}X\tau\, vol_h = -\int_M \mathcal{O}\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(X\tau^n). \tag{4.6}$$

Combining (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain the formula (4.4). □

As an example, we consider the case of the sphere $M = S^n$. Let $(\xi^0, \dots, \xi^{n+1})$ be the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{RP}^{n+1}$. The projective density bundle $\mathcal{E}(-1)$ of $\mathbb{RP}^{n+1}$ is identified with the tautological line bundle, and the D-operator is given by $\partial/\partial\xi^I$. The defining density $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (1/2)(-(\xi^0)^2 + (\xi^1)^2 + \cdots + (\xi^{n+1})^2)$ of M is the exact solution to the Monge–Ampère equation, so the ambient metric is given by $\widetilde{g} = -(d\xi^0)^2 + (d\xi^1)^2 + \cdots + (d\xi^{n+1})^2$. Thus $\widetilde{g}$ agrees with the Fefferman–Graham ambient metric in conformal geometry. In the standard scale on S^n, the GJMS operator is given explicitly by

$$P_{n/2+2} = \prod_{j=1}^{n/2+2}\Big(\Delta_h + \Big(\frac{n}{2} + j - 1\Big)\Big(\frac{n}{2} - j\Big)\Big),$$

where h is the standard metric on S^n; see [FG2] for the derivation of this formula.

Let E_k be the eigenspace of Δ_h with eigenvalue $k(k+n-1)$ for $k \geq 0$, so that we have the decomposition $L^2(S^n) = \sum_{k\geq 0} E_k$. It follows from the above formula that $\operatorname{Ker} P_{n/2+2} = E_0 \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2$ and $P_{n/2+2}$ is positive definite on $(\operatorname{Ker} P_{n/2+2})^\perp$.

The space $E_0 \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2$ has the following geometric interpretation: Since eigenfunctions of Δ_h are given by the restriction of homogeneous harmonic polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, it holds that

$$E_0 \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2 = \{F(\xi) = (a_{IJ}\xi^I\xi^J)|_{S^n} \mid a_{IJ} = a_{JI} \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

In fact, noting that we may assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{ii} = 0$ by adding a multiple of $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, we have

$$F = a_{00} + 2a_{0i}x^i + a_{ij}x^i x^j,$$

where $x^i = \xi^i/\xi^0$ are the affine coordinates, and each term in the right-hand side belongs to E_0, E_1, E_2 respectively. The gradient vector field of F is given by

$$X = -2a_{0J}\xi^J \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^0} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{iJ}\xi^J \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i},$$

so the flow generated by X is of the form $\mathrm{Fl}_t(\xi) = (I + tA)\xi$ with some matrix A. Therefore, the flow $\underline{\mathrm{Fl}}_t$ on $\mathbb{RP}^{n+1}$ is a projective linear transformation, which does not change the conformal Codazzi structure on S^n.

References

[Al] P. Albin, *Renormalizing curvature integrals on Poincaré-Einstein manifolds,* Adv. Math. **221** (2009), 140–169.

[BC] F. E. Burstall and D. M. J. Calderbank, *Submanifold geometry in generalized flag manifolds,* in Winter School in Geometry and Physics (Srni, 2003), Rend. del Circ. mat. di Palermo **72** (2004), 13–41.

[BEG] T. N. Bailey, M. G. Eastwood, and A. R. Gover, *Thomas' structure bundles for conformal, projective and related structures,* Rocky Mountain J. **24** (1994), 1191–1217.

[C] D. M. J. Calderbank, *Möbius structures and two-dimensional Einstein-Weyl geometry,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **504** (1998), 37–53.

[CY] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, *On the existence of a complete Kähler-Einstein metric on noncompact complex manifolds and the regularity of Fefferman's equation,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **123** (1980), 507–544.

[Fe] C. Fefferman, *Monge–Ampère equations, the Bergman kernel, and geometry of pseudoconvex domains,* Ann. of Math. **103** (1976), 395–416.

[FG1] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, *Conformal invariants,* in "Élie Cartan er les Mathématiques d'Aujourd'hui," Astérisque, hors série (1985), 95–116.

[FG2] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, *Q-curvature and Poincaré metric,* Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), 819–832.

[FG3] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, *The ambient metric,* Princeton University Press, 2011.

[FH] C. Fefferman and K. Hirachi, *Ambient metric construction of Q-curvature in conformal and CR geometries,* Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), 819–832.

[GG] A. R. Gover and C. R. Graham, *CR invariant powers of the sub-Laplacian,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **583** (2005), 1–27.

[GH] A. R. Gover and K. Hirachi, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian—A complete non-existence theorem,* Jour. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), 389–405.

[G1] C. R. Graham, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian, II: Nonexistence,* J. London Math. Soc. **46** (1992), 566–576.

[G2] C. R. Graham, *Volume and area renormalizations for conformally compact Einstein metrics,* Rend. Cirs. Mat. Palermo Ser. II **63** (2000), Suppl., 31–42.

[GJMS] C. R. Graham, R. Jenne, L. J. Mason and G. A. J. Sparling, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian, I: Existence,* J. London Math. Soc. **46** (1992), 557–565.

[GZ] C. R. Graham and M. Zworski, *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry,* Invent. Math. **152** (2003), 89–118.

[HMM] K. Hirachi, T. Marugame and Y. Matsumoto, *Variations of total Q-prime curvature on CR manifolds,* `arXiv:1510.03221`

[HPT] P. Hislop, P. Perry, and A.-H. Tang, *CR-invariants and the scattering operator for complex manifolds with boundary,* Analysis & PDE **1** (2008), 197–227.

[M] T. Marugame, *Volume renormalization for the Blaschke metric on strictly convex domains,* `arXiv:1601.06948`

[NS] K. Nomizu and T. Sasaki, *Affine Differential Geometry: Geometry of Affine Immersions,* Cambridge University Press, 1994.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

E-mail address: `marugame@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`